

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/605,271	06/28/2000	Christopher Henry Rohrs	200308340-1	5332	
7590 11/03/2003			EXAMINER		
IP ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPARTMENT			ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN		
M/S 35 HEWLE	ETT PACKARD COMPA	NY			
P.O. BOX 2724	00		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLLIN	S, CO 80527-2400		2175	(0)	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ட இர்				4		
4.1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action		09/605,271	ROHRS, CHRISTOPHER HENRY			
	Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Neveen Abel-Jalil	2175			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she t with th	correspond nc add	ress		
Theref final re conditi	EPLY FILED 20 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appenation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper repict ich places the application	oly to a cation in		
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
have bea 37 CFR (b) abov	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). The period of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the date for purposes of determining the period of extern 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortenese, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ext to fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
_	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal				
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(C)	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the		
(d)	they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.		
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	d amendment		
5.🛛	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for application in condition for allowance because: \underline{S}		sidered but does NO	OT place the		
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	re newly		
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
	Claim(s) allowed:					
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.	he proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.	Other:) لولھ	EN LANGE		
			DOV PO			
			SUPERVISORY PA TECHNOLOGY			

Continuation of 5. does NOT plac the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments presented in the After-Final amendment, filed on October 20, 2003 with respect to the cited references have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive.

In response to the applicant's argument that none of the cited references teaches or suggests at least the Applicant's claimed "object allocation routine which stores an object of a particular type in one of a plurality of logical partitions in the heap dependent on a predefined category for the object type", the argument has been addressed by the examiner in the Final Rejection office action, mailed on 20-August-2003 (paper No. 7). See pages 2-3 of the Final Rejection office action (paper No. 7). Eidt teaches "each routine... each object...allocated" on page 3, paragraphs 0041-0042.

In response to the applicant's argument "the office action provides no suggestion for combining Eidt and Englemann", the argument is fully acknowledged. The Examiner respectfully states that Engelmann reference was introduced to teach the newly added claimed limitation of "searched logical partitions". In this case, the examiner is establishing motivation in obviousness in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the invention of Eidt with the teachings of Engelmann because it allows for faster processing and freeing up memory segments for subsequent writes and efficiently allocating disk space.